

DESIGNING FOR OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY: HOW TO BETTER INTEGRATE FACILITY MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVES IN DESIGN

Saleh Kalantari, Ph.D., EDAC

Assistant Professor

Gerald D. Hines College of Architecture and Design **University of Houston**

skalant@central.uh.edu





PROBLEM AND SETTING

NNOVATION

INTRODUCTION



- By the time that FMs become involved in managing a building, the designers have almost always moved on to their next project(s).
- FMs and designers do not communicate well, the result is waste and error, which can lead to higher operating costs as well as decreased building performance and lower levels of satisfaction among building occupants.
- Communication difficulties between designers and facility managers due to a lack of mutual interest





INTRODUCTION

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROJECT

- This research assessed the collaboration process in several different countries and institutional settings.
 - The research thus provided new knowledge about improving the architectural design process. While previous studies have emphasized the importance of including FMs' knowledge in design, this study went further in its goal of detecting specific problems in the current state of **communication** between FMs and architectural firms, and using this evaluation to generate specific recommendations for more effective communication practices.



INTRODUCTION

RESEARCH AIMS

Aim One: Understand International Facility Management Challenges and Their Potential Impact on Building Performance.

Aim Two: Provide Recommendations for Effective Communication between Facility Managers and Designers with the Goal of Enhancing the Quality of Design.





HIGH PERFORMANCE DESIGN PROCESS

- Design Process
- Performance-based Design
 Process
- Design Process and POE
- Lean Thinking in Design Process

BUILDING PERFORMANCE AND POE

- POE Definition
- POE Benefits and Barriers
- Theoretical Approaches
- POE And Facility Management





Arditi and Nawakorawit (1999) Dunston and Williamson (1999) Meier and Russell (2000) Erdener (2003) in the United States

Bröchner (2003) in Sweden

Jensen (2009) in Denmark

Duffy (2000), Jaunzens (2001), and Meng (2013) in the United Kingdom Silva and colleagues (2004) in Singapore

Bu Jawdeh (2013) in the Persian Gulf countries. Mohammed and Hassanain (2010) in Saudi Arabia



- Facility Management
- History of Facility
 Management Integration
 in Design Process
- The Benefits of Facility Managers' Involvement in the Design Process
- Problems that Arise When Facility Managers Are Not Involved in the Design Process
- At What Point in the Design Process Should Facility Managers Become Involved?



FACILITY MANAGEMENT INVOLVEMENT IN DESIGN PROCESS



- Models of Collaboration
- Barriers Against Facility Managers' Involvement in the Design Process
- Knowledge Management in the Design Process
- Use of BIM and Integration of Facility Managers in Design Process



FACILITY MANAGEMENT INVOLVEMENT IN DESIGN PROCESS



- Many previous studies, such as Arditi and Nawakorawit (1999), Dunston and Williamson (1999), Meier ar Russell (2000), Chew et al. (2004), and Silva et al. (2004), are biased toward maintainability.
- Many previous studies have only limited empirical data support. (e.g. Bröchner, 2003; Edum- Fotwe et al., 2003; Mohammed and Hassanain, 2010).



GAP IN LITERATURE



Unlike previous studies, this research explores early FM involvement in the design process by BOTH interview and survey.

 Unlike the previous study that just focuses on one country, this research compares the early FM involvement in the design process between the U.S., the U.K., and the Middle East.



GAP IN LITERATURE



Unlike previous studies, this research explores communication problems between designers and facility managers.









National Institute of
 BUILDING SCIENCES
 CONFERENCE & EXPO

LDING 🛛

- The use of both quantitative and qualitative approaches allowed for the triangulation of data, revealing a more nuanced outlook on the phenomenon being investigated.
- Unlike previous studies, this investigation of collaborations between designers and FMs took an international approach, so that populations in three different countries could be compared.

Multi-Methodology Approach:

Qualitative interviews with experts in the field

Quantitative survey



In the qualitative part of the study, 20 semi-structured interviews were conducted with prominent facility management professionals.



- Nine face-to-face interviews and one Skype interview in London, three face-to-face interviews and two Skype interviews in College Station and Houston in Texas, and two face-to-face interviews and three Skype interviews in Doha, Qatar.
- Each interview lasted between 30 and 45 minutes.

Qualitative Interviews with Experts in the Field



Quantitative survey

- In the quantitative part of the study, an online survey questionnaire was generated in Qualtrics and widely distributed to the members of the primary international facility management organizations.
- The survey consisted of 32 shortanswer and narrative questions. Seven of the questions asked about the respondent's background, 10 questions addressed organizational protocols, and 15 questions addressed the FM's experience in collaborations with architectural designers.

Texas A&M University Human Subjects Protection Program

Information Sheet

Toward an Economic Design Process: Enhancing Building Performance through Better Integration of Facility Management in the Design Process

1. What is your role in the company?

General Manager (GM)

Portfolio Manager (PM)
 Senior Assistant Technician (SAT)

Facility Manager (FM)

Technical certificate/license

Some college/university classes

Some college/university

Other

Head of Operation (HOO)

You are invited to take part in a research study being conducted by Dr. Mardelle Shepley, and Mr. Seved Saleh Kalantari Hematabadi, a ressei you decide whether or not to you, and you will not tose an BACKGROUND

Why Is This Study Being D The purpose of this study is t enhancing energy efficiency

Why Am I Being Asked To You are being asked to be in facility management.

How Many People Will Be . Overall, a total of 500 people

What Are the Alternatives The alternative to being in the

What Will I Be Asked To De You will be asked to complete in a group interview as well.

Are There Any Risks To N The things that you will be do Although the researchers ha of you will be stressful or up

Will There Be Any Costs T

Aside from your time, there a

Will I Be Paid To Be In This You will not be paid for being

Will Information From Thi: The records of this study wil report that might be published access to the records. Peopl research study personnel. R Protections (OHRP) and entiti access your records to make properly. Information about yr required by law.

Who may I Contact for Mo You may contact the Principa Kalantari Hematabadi to tell th (979) 845-7009. For question or concerns about the resear office at +1 (979) 458-4067 c

What if I Change My Mind. This research is voluntary an

5. How many people do you supervise as a regular part of your job? ?

None
2-10
11-20
More than 20

6. In which countries/areas of world have you worked in facility mana

USA	UK	China	East	India	Europe	Africa	America	Canada	

7. Please check your area of specialization in your company (Check as

Electrical wiring and power distribution

- Carpentry
- Plumbing
 Operation and maintenance of HVAC
- Spatial Planning

Some graduate school classes
 Completed graduate school

2. What is your highest level of training/education?

3. How long have you worked in facility maintenance over your whole

- 1 to 5 years
- 5 to 10 years
- More than 10 years

4. How long have you worked in your current position?

Less than 1 year
 1 to 5 years

5 to 10 years

More than 10 years



Empowering Facility Professionals Worldwide



Empowering Facility Professionals Worldwide

British Facility Management Institute United Kingdom 30,000 Members



British Facility Management Institute (BIFM) United Kingdom 30,000 Members

Middle Eastern Facility Management Association (MEFMA) Middle East 7,000 Members



Empowering Facility Professionals Worldwide

BIFM

ADVANCING OUR PROFESSION



21

British Facility Management Institute (BIFM) United Kingdom 30,000 Members

Middle Eastern Facility Management Association (MEFMA) Middle East 7,000 Members

Other Organizations/Firms: Qatar Green Building Council (QGBC) SSC Services at Texas A&M University FIATECH group



Empowering Facility Professionals Worldwide

BIFM®

ADVANCING OUR PROFESSION









22







22 Interviews Completed

- 11 interviews in the U.K.
- 6 interviews in the U.S.
- 5 interviews in the Middle East

INTERVIEW ANALYSIS

Julie Kortens UK Director of BIFM

Bill Bordass UK Researcher and Creator of Soft Landing

Bob Wible USA Director of FIATECH



- Three Interviews with FMs of Channel 4 Building
- The Winner of Best British FM Team
- World Winning Award Building



Channel 4, Designed by Richard Rogers

Demographic Information of Participants: Level of Education





INTERVIEW ANALYSIS

Distribution of Interviewees' Roles





8

FM-TAMU-Interview

031514

47

I guess the challenges are, there's variety of challenges in FM in higher ed. And in higher ed is a systemic problem and in general they tend to build new buildings instead of take care of the ones they already have and renovate them on time and there always issues that allow the option of building new buildings instead of repairing or upgrading what you already have so I think that's a challenge in FM./

Pg. 2

INTERVIEW ANALYSIS

- Card number
- Interview Code
- Date of the interview
- Line numbers
- Unit
- Page number in the original transcript

BUILDING DINNOVATION	
National Institute of BUILDING SCIENCES	

Category

1.	Background					
2.	Facility Management in the United Kingdom					
<i>3</i> .	Facility Management in the United States					
4.	Facility Management in the Middle East					
5.	Comparison of Facility Management Cultures: The					
	United Kingdom vs. the United States					
6.	Comparison of Facility Management Cultures: The					
	United Kingdom and the United States vs. the					
	Middle East					
7.	Facility Management Meetings					
8.	Feedback Loops Within Facility Management Firms					
<i>9</i> .	Facility Managers' Vision of Their Industry					
10.	Facility Managers' Vision of Designers					
<i>11</i> .	Communication Issues					
12.	Relationships between Designers and Facility					
	Managers after Building Occupancy					
<i>13</i> .	The Need for Better Training					
<i>14</i> .	Knowledge Management					
15.	Motivators and De-motivators of Facility Managers					
	for Collaboration in Design					
<i>16</i> .	Benefits of FM Integration in Design Process					
17.	Other Factors Affecting the Likelihood of					
	Collaboration					
18.	The Benefits of Collaboration					

19. When Should Collaboration Begin?

20. Solutions for Integrating Facility Managers into the Design Process

INTERVIEW ANALYSIS





1. Background

- 2. Facility Management in the United Kingdom
- *3.* Facility Management in the United States
- 4. Facility Management in the Middle East
- 5. Comparison of Facility Management Cultures: The United Kingdom vs. the United States
- 6. Comparison of Facility Management Cultures: The
 United Kingdom and the United States vs. the
 Middle East
- 7. Facility Management Meetings
- 8. Feedback Loops Within Facility Management Firms
 - 9. Facility Managers' Vision of Their Industry
 - 10. Facility Managers' Vision of Designers
 - *11.* Communication Issues

BUILDING SCIENCES		
	12.	Relationships between Designers and Facility
		Managers after Building Occupancy
	13.	The Need for Better Training
	14.	Knowledge Management
	15.	Motivators and De-motivators of Facility Managers
		for Collaboration in Design
	16.	Benefits of FM Integration in Design Process
	17.	Other Factors Affecting the Likelihood of
		Collaboration
	18.	The Benefits of Collaboration
	<i>19</i> .	When Should Collaboration Begin?
	20.	Solutions for Integrating Facility Managers into the
	X	Design Process
	1 100 SE	



Themes			
Theme I.	Context		
Theme II.	The Current State of Facility		
	Management in the United Kingdom,		
	the United States, and the Middle East		
Theme	Communication Within Facility		
III.	Management Firms		
Theme IV.	Relationships between Designers and		
	Facility Managers		
Theme V.	Facility Managers' Involvement in the		
	Design Process		

INTERVIEW ANALYSIS







- The estimated response rate for the recruitment e-mail was 298/8500=3.50%
- The estimated effective response rate
 171/8500=2.01%
- The respondents took 12 minutes and 23 seconds to fill out the survey

SURVEY DISTRIBUTION

- The online survey was sent to approximately
 8,500 individuals
- Out of these recipients, **298** individuals visited the survey site











Locations Where Respondents Have Worked in the Facility Management Industry

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION



DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION





Respondents' Job Titles



Work Experience



GENERAL RESULTS

FM's Experience in Working with Designers

On average, the respondents estimated that collaboration with designers happened on around 35% of their projects


GENERAL RESULTS



FMs' View of the Importance of Having a Relationship with Designers



GENERAL RESULTS



FMs' View of the Importance of Having a Relationship with Designers





FM's Most Commonly Referenced Consultants

Fields That FMs Believe Have the Most Shared Understanding With Facility Management





BUILDING SCIENCES

GENERAL RESULTS

Positivity of Designers about Collaboration with FMs in the Design

About half of the respondents (47%) stated that designers are not positive in regard to collaborating with FMs in the design process





Efficiency of Designers' Proposals in Solving Building Maintenance Problems

54% of the respondents indicated that designers' proposals are "effective, but need FMs' input."



Using the Likert-scale survey questions, **30 statistical hypotheses** were tested. Both ANOVA and Chisquared analyses were performed



HYPOTHESES TESTING



- The Impact of Training and Role in the Company
- Country of Origin
- Confidence in Sharing Opinions
- Respondents' View of Designers
- Impact of
 Occupants
- Lean Principles
- Number of People
 Supervised
- Rates of Collaboration



Analysis of Hypotheses 1 to 3 (p-value<0.01 is marked in green; p-value<0.05 is marked in red; p-value<0.10 is marked in purple)

	NO.	Questions	Independent Variable	Dependent Variable	P-v	alue
NG					ANOVA	Chi-
stitute of						Square
SCIENCES E & EXPO	Н1	Questions 1, 2, 3 relative	Role in the company	Share their opinion in the	0.3769	0.5774
		to Question 10	Highest level of	company	0.0700	0.3327
			training/education			
			Length of work experience		0.0043	0.0141
	H2	Questions 1, 2, 3 relative	Role in the company	Relationship with designers	0.1167	0.0109
		to Question 24		is a necessary step to		
			Highest level of	achieve a good building	0.7267	0.8601
			training/education	performance		
			Length of work experience		0.0118	0.0195
	НЗ	Questions 1, 2, 3 relative	Role in the company	Respondents feel that their	0.7878	0.5630
		to Question 27	Highest level of	ideas can affect decision-	0.6239	0.0357
			training/education	making in the design		
			Length of work experience	process	0.2617	0.3630



FMs' Early Involvement in the Design Process: The U.S., the U.K., and the Middle East

The results show that the rate of involvement in the U.S. is higher than in both the U.K. (p-value= 0.0355) and the Middle East (p-value= 0.0087).



1= U.S.; 2= U.K.; 3= Middle East

Ordered Differences Report

Level	- Level	Difference	Std Err Dif	Lower CL	Upper CL	p-Value	
1	2	0.8208333	0.3870360	0.056326	1.585341	0.0355*	/
1	3	0.8047840	0.3029124	0.206445	1.403123	0.0087*	
3	2	0.0160494	0.3554215	-0.686010	0.718109	0.9640	



Rate of Collaboration with Designers for Solving Problem after Occupancy: The U.S., the U.K., and the Middle East

The findings illustrate that the chance of a relationship between FMs and designers after occupation is higher in the U.S. than in the Middle East (pvalue= 0.0189).



Ordered Differences Report

Level	- Level	Difference	Std Err Dif	Lower CL	Upper CL	p-Value	
1	3	0.6060127	0.2554014	0.101470	1.110555	0.0189*	
2	3	0.4476793	0.2992916	-0.143568	1.038926	0.1368	
1	2	0.1583333	0.3248042	-0.483313	0.799980	0.6266	



Perceived Positivity of Designers about Collaborating with FMs: The U.S., the U.K., and the Middle East

The results show that the perceived positivity of designers toward collaboration is higher in the U.S. than in the U.K. (p-value= 0.0001) and the Middle East (p-value= 0.0002).



Ordered Differences Report

Level	- Level	Difference	Std Err Dif	Lower CL	Upper CL	p-Value
1	2	0.8500000	0.2244438	0.406637	1.293363	0.0002*
1	3	0.7250000	0.1760682	0.377197	1.072803	<.0001*
3	2	0.1250000	0.2064582	-0.282835	0.532835	0.5458



Respondents' Positive Feelings about Their Ability Influence Decision-Making in the Design Process vs. Their Rate of Collaboration

The findings suggest that FMs who are more positive about the impact of their ideas on decision making process are more likely to have collaborated in the design process (ANOVA p-value= 0.0125; Chi-square pvalue= 0.0107).







Respondents' Positive Feelings about Their Ability Influence Decision-Making in the Design Process vs. Their Rate of Collaboration

The findings suggest that FMs who are more positive about the impact of their ideas on decision making process are more likely to have collaborated in the design process (ANOVA p-value= 0.0125; Chi-square pvalue= 0.0107).







Positive Perceptions toward Designers vs. Collaboration with Designers

The findings show that when FMs perceived designers to be more enthusiastic about collaboration, the FMs were more likely to have been involved in the design process (ANOVA p-value= 0.0001; Chi-square pvalue= 0.0025).





Number of Employees Supervised By Respondents vs. Collaboration with Designers

A higher number of people who are supervised by respondents is associated with a higher rate of collaboration in the design process (ANOVA p-value= 0.0679)







Length of Experience in Current Position vs. Rate of Collaboration in the Design Process

Greater work experience as an FM is associated with a higher rate of collaboration in the design process (ANOVA p-value= 0.0103)



OUT OF 30 HYPOTHESIS 16 WERE SUPPORTED







 Collaboration Between Facility Managers and Designers: Comparing the United Kingdom, the United States, and the Middle East

- The Early Involvement of Facility Managers in the Design Process
- Communication between
 FMs and Designers
- How to Better Integrate FMs
 into the Design Process

CONCLUSION



The current State of the FM Industry in the United Kingdom, the United States, and the Middle East

United Kingdom	United States	Middle East
• Beginning to mature	• Beginning to mature	• A new but rapidly
• Fully integrated into	• Training as the	expanding field
the business model	biggest current	• Immature industry
• An aging work	concerns for the	• Absence of formal
population	facility management	training systems
• A distinct generational	industry	• Communication barriers
shift occurring in the	• Difficulty in finding	• Low quality of
nature of the profession	qualified employees	workmanship
• Larger number of	• Less interest in the	• Lack of consistent
educational programs	field among the	production standards
giving degrees or	younger generation	• Conflicts of interest and
certificates in facility	• Lack of	cultural barriers between
management	understanding among	different levels of
• Little incentive for FMs	the public about what	management
to strive for really good	exactly FMs do	• Lack of understanding
building performance		among the public about
		what exactly FMs do
		Poor integration process



Design

Summary of Benefits from FMs' Early Involvement in Design

Benefits	Region in Which the		
	Benefit Was Identified		
Improve Performance of Design	U.K., U.S., Middle East		
Shorter Design Process for a Project	U.K., U.S.		
Safer and Healthier Design	U.K.		
More Flexible Designs by Presenting Realistic	U.K., U.S.		
Knowledge of Building Operations			
More Attractive to Prospective Occupants	U.K., Middle East		
More Energy-Efficient Design	U.K., U.S., Middle East		
More Straightforward to Construct	U.S., Middle East		
Provide Lessons Learned from Previous Projects	U.K., U.S.		
(POE)			
Provide the Evaluation of Design Innovation from	U.K.		
Previous Projects (POE)			
Greater Satisfaction for Both Clients and	U.K., U.S., Middle East		
Occupants			
Improving Design for Future Buildings	U.K., Middle East		
Better Relationship Between Designers and	U.K., U.S.		
Building Users			
Emphasize the Functionality and Productivity of	U.K., U.S.		
the Design			



Summary of Benefits from FMs' Early Involvement in Design

Benefit Was IdentifiedReduction in Maintenance CostsU.K., U.S., Middle EastReduction in the Long-Term Expenses of theU.K., U.S., Middle EastBuildingProvide the Ability to Remain Competitive inU.K.Their FieldU.K.
Reduction in Maintenance CostsU.K., U.S., Middle EastReduction in the Long-Term Expenses of the BuildingU.K., U.S., Middle EastProvide the Ability to Remain Competitive in Their FieldU.K.
Reduction in the Long-Term Expenses of the BuildingU.K., U.S., Middle EastProvide the Ability to Remain Competitive in Their FieldU.K.
Building Provide the Ability to Remain Competitive in U.K. Their Field
Provide the Ability to Remain Competitive in U.K.
The in Dis14
I neir Field
Efficient Solution For Commission and U.K., U.S., Middle East
Maintenance of the Building
Reduce The Later Need For FMs to Enact U.K., U.S.
Inefficient Operational Practices and/or Expensive
Infrastructure Alterations
More Focused on Minimizing the Building's U.K., U.S.
Whole-Life Expenditures Rather Than Just the
Initial Capital Costs
Easier to Control and ManageU.K., U.S., Middle East
Provide the Ability to Minimize or Avoid U.K., U.S.
Maintenance Risks

Facilities Management



Barriers	Percentage of Interviewees who Mentioned the Barrier
Communication problems between	85%
FMs and designers	
Underestimation of FMs' ability to	55%
contribute	
Concerns about the cost of involving	40%
more people in design	
Difficulties in explaining to clients	35%
what exactly the FMs can contribute to	
design	
Cultural differences between FMs,	30%
designers, and clients	
Resistance on the part of clients/owners	30%
to fund the process	
Lack of knowledge of clients about the	30%
prospect of collaboration	
Geographical distance between	20%
designers and FMs	

Summary of Identified Barriers against the Involvement of FMs in Design



CONFERENCE & EXPO

Factors Associated with Greater or Lesser Likelihood of Collaboration between FMs and Designers







- Recognize benefits from collaboration between FMs and designers
- Emphasize financial savings over the whole life of the building
- Encourage greater attention to the role of the FM industry

Training

Academic Facility Mangement Programs

Interdisciplinary Conferences and Workshops

Facilities Management Certificate

Software Training in FM Organizations



- Emphasize the integrated design process
- Share lessons learned through the use of current technology

Using Knowledge Management Tools Building Information Modeling Software (BIM)

Robust Survey Tools and Databases for POE Feedback

Making Connections Between FM Software and Design Software



• Promote effective communication

• Prepare the context for post-occupancy relationships

Professional Setting for the Collaboration Meeting

Use FM Input as a 3D-Operation Tool

Arrange Meetings Based on Individuals' Areas of Expertise

Prove Guidelines for Cost-effective Solutions for Each Project Prior to the Collaboration Meetings





g how much s to be allorious mainivities

clarify the le solutions roblems

with FMs

with FMs

create flexias, and idenmaintenance nts for such

n of considerperation and ity













Designer

This study found that there is an increasing recognition of the importance of early FM involvement and an increasing use of early FM involvement in today's practice.

Facility Manager



Designer

This study compared the FM Industry, and FMs' early involvement in design in the U.K., the U.S., and the Middle East. **Facility Manager**



Designer

Early FM involvement not only benefits FM providers but also benefits other key stakeholders, such as clients, designers, and end users. **Facility Manager**


Designer

This study found barriers for the FM-designers collaboration. The majority of these barriers are listed as the communication barriers.



Designer

This research analyzed the factors associated with greater or lesser likelihood of collaboration between FMs and designers.



Designer

To overcome the barriers, this research suggested a model for overcoming barriers based on enhancing the training, professional setting for the collaborating meeting, and knowledge management tools.



Designer

This study present a model of collaboration to better integration the knowledge from facilities managers in design process which could be served as the guideline for collaboration meeting in design process.



Collaboration between designers and facility managers

Comparing the United Kingdom, the United States, and the Middle East

Saleh Kalantari Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, DC, USA

> Mardelle M. Shepley Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA, and

USA and

Zofia K. Rybkowski and John A. Bryant Department of Construction Science, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

Abstract

Purpose – The aim of this study is to focus on the perspectives of facility managers in each region and the different challenges impacting collaboration in each geographical context. This research analyzed obstacles to collaboration between facility managers and architectural designers in three international regions.

Design/methodology/approach – A multi-method approach was used, allowing the researchers to triangulate data from in-depth interviews and a widely distributed survey instrument. The participants included a large cross-selection of facility management professionals in each of the regions under study. The interview data were parsed to identify recurring themes, while the survey data were analyzed statistically to test specific hypotheses.

Findings – Significant differences were found in the culture of the facility management profession in each region. These differences created unique challenges for collaboration, especially in the context of a non-local design team. While the facility management profession was perceived as most established and professional in the UK, rates of collaboration between facility managers and designers were actually much higher in the USA. Collaborations between facility managers and designers were almost non-existent in the Middle East.

Originality/value – While the importance of collaboration between facility managers and designers is increasingly recognized for improving the efficiency of building operations, crucial obstacles continue to limit the scope of this engagement. There has been limited previous research analyzing obstacles to collaboration that are specific to international contexts and non-local design teams. This study helps to fill an important gap in the literature by providing a comparative analysis of collaboration challenges in three international contexts.

Keywords Facility management, Collaboration, Effectiveness, Design process, Designers, Early involvement

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

For large, multi-use buildings to operate at their maximum efficiency, it is vital that there should be good communication between designers and the facility management professionals who will oversee the daily operations of the building. Facility managers (FMs) need to understand the designers' intent in order for the building to operate as planned, and designers can greatly benefit from the accumulated practical knowledge of FMs when

Designers and facility managers

557

Received 4 August 2016 Revised 8 December 2016 Accepted 14 January 2017

> Kalantari, S., Shepley, M. M., Rybkowski, Z. K., & Bryant, J. A. (2017). Collaboration between designers and facility managers: comparing the United Kingdom, the United States, and the Middle East. *Facilities*, *35*(9/10), 557-572.



Facilities Vol. 35 No. 9/10, 2017 pp. 557-572 © Emerald Publishing Limited 0263-2772 DOI: 10.1108/F.08-2016-003



ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING AND DESIGN MANAGEMENT, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1080/17452007.2017.1348333



ARTICLE HISTORY Received 9 December 2016

KEYWORDS

collaboration

Designer; facility

Accepted 26 June 2017

management; building

performance; design process;

Check for updates

Designing for operational efficiency: facility managers' perspectives on how their knowledge can be better incorporated during design

Saleh Kalantari^a*, Mardelle M. Shepley^b, Zofia K. Rybkowski^c and John Bryant^c

^aSchool of Design and Construction, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA; ^bDesign and Environmental Analysis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; ^cConstruction Science, Texas A&M University, College station, TX, USA

ABSTRACT

Downloaded by [Saleh Kalantari] at 11:37 10 September 2017

There is a growing agreement among researchers and practitioners that the input of facility managers (FMs) can be a vital resource during the architectural design process. FMs are responsible for the everyday operations of buildings, and are therefore aware of many practical details of maintenance and efficiency that designers may overlook. However, despite the recognized benefits of collaboration between designers and FMs, there are significant obstacles that have so far prevented the widespread implementation of this partnership. The current study used data from 30 in-depth interviews and a widely distributed survey of FMs in three international regions to identify some of the obstacles that prevent collaboration between FMs and designers. Based on these data, the authors also developed specific recommendations for better incorporating the knowledge of FMs into the design process. Difficulties in communication and cultural barriers between the two fields were found to be the most pervasively reported obstacles, closely followed by the perception that designers are unaware or uninterested in the contributions that FMs can offer. Educational initiatives, technology training, and structural incentives were among the proposed solutions. The study resulted in a simple visual model of best practices for promoting collaboration, as well as a separate model for organizing the contributions of FMs during design.

Introduction

Facility management is a growing field of professionals who administrate the operational aspects of large, multiuse buildings. It is critically important for architectural designers to understand the role that facility managers (FMs) play in implementing designers' intended patterns of building use (Duffy, 2000; Jensen, 2012). When there is good communication between designers and FMs, the final architectural product will operate to its maximum efficiency (Erdener, 2003). However, when FMs and designers do not communicate well, the result is waste and error, which can lead to higher operating occupants (Meng, 2013). Buildings do not always perform as their designers intended, and poor communication between the design team, the building occupants, and the facility managers may be one of the central reasons for this problem (Tzortzopoulos & Sexton, 2007).

CONTACT Saleh Kalantari 🗟 saleh.kalantari@wsu.edu 🚭 School of Design and Construction, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99163, USA "Present address: Geral D. Hines College of Architecture and Design, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA Kalantari, S., Shepley, M. M., Rybkowski, Z. K., & Bryant, J. (2017). Designing for operational efficiency: facility managers' perspectives on how their knowledge can be better incorporated during design. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, 1-22.



BUILDING 8

CONFERENCE & EXPO

National Institute of BUILDING SCIENCES

REFERENCES

- Arditi, D., & Nawakorawit, M. (1999). Designing buildings for maintenance: Designers' perspective. Journal of Architectural Engineering, 5(4), 107–116.
- Ashworth, S., Tucker, M., Druhmann, C., & Kassem, M. (2016). Integration of FM expertise and end user needs in the BIM process using the Employer's Information Requirements (EIR). In Proceedings of CIB World Building Congress (Vol. 5, pp. 1–12).
- Bröchner, J. (2003). Integrated development of facilities design and services. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 17(1), 19–23.
- Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., & Volm, J. M. (2013). The project benefits of building information modelling (BIM). International Journal of Project Management, 31(7), 971–980.
- Bu Jawdeh, H. M. (2013). Improving the integration of building design and facilities management (Doctoral dissertation). University of Salford, Manchester.
- Chew, M. Y. L., Tan, S. S., & Kang, K. H. (2004). Building maintainability review of state of the art. Journal of Architectural Engineering, 10(3), 80–87.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2000). Action research. Research Methods in Education, 5, 226–244.
- de Silva, N., Dulaimi, M. F., Ling, F. Y., & Ofori, G. (2004). Improving the maintainability of buildings in Singapore. Building and Environment, 39(10), 1243–1251.
- Duffy, F. (2000). Design and facilities management in a time of change. Facilities, 18(10–12), 371–375.
- Duffy, F., & Hutton, L. (2004). Architectural knowledge: The idea of a profession. Abingdon: Taylor & Francis. Dunston, P. S., & Williamson, C. E. (1999). Incorporating maintainability in constructability review process. Journal of Management in Engineering, 15(5), 56–60.
- Elmualim, A., & Gilder, J. (2014). BIM: Innovation in design management, influence, and challenges of implementation. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 10(3–4), 183–199.
- Erdener, E. (2003). Linking programming and design with facilities management. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 17(1), 4–8.
- Erlandson, D., Harris, E., Skipper, B., & Allen, S. (1993). Doing naturalistic inquiry: A guide to methods. Newbury Park, CA:Sage.
- Froese, T., Han, Z., & Alldritt, M. (2007). Study of information technology development for the Canadian construction industry. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 34(7), 817–829.
- Göçer, Ö., Hua, Y., & Göçer, K. (2015). Completing the missing link in building design process: Enhancing post-occupancy evaluation method for effective feedback for building performance. Building and Environment, 89, 14–27.
- Grilo, A., & Jardim-Goncalves, R. (2010). Value proposition on interoperability of BIM and collaborative working environments.
- Automation in Construction, 19(5), 522–530.
- Hossain, L. (2009). Communications and coordination in construction projects. Construction Management and Economics, 27(1), 25–39.
- Jamieson, S. (2004). Likert scales: How to (ab)use them. Medical Education, 38(6), 1212–1218.
- Jaunzens, D., Wariner, D., Garner, U., & Waterman, A. (2001). Applying facilities expertise in building design. London: BRE Press.
- Jensen, P. A. (2009). Design integration of facilities management: A challenge of knowledge transfer. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 5(3), 124–135.
- Jensen, P. A. (2012). Knowledge transfer from facilities management to building projects: A typology of transfer mechanisms. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 8(3), 170–179.
- Kolltveit, B. J., & Grønhaug, K. (2004). The importance of the early phase: The case of construction and building projects. International Journal of Project Management, 22(7), 545–551.
- Leung, N. N., Chan, S. L., & Issa, R. R. (2003). Meta-data-based collaboration in construction project management. Bridges, 10(40704), 44.
- Liu, R., & Issa, R. (2013). BIM for facility management: Design for maintainability with BIM tools. In Proceeding of 30th International Symposium of Automation and Robotics in Construction and Mining (ISARC 2013), Montreal, Canada.
- McAuley, B. (2016). Identification of key performance tasks to demonstrate the benefit of introducing the facilities manager at an early stage in the Building Information Modeling process on public sector projects in Ireland (Doctoral dissertation). Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin.
- McCrum-Gardner, E. (2008). Which is the correct statistical test to use? British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 46, 38–41.
- McNeill, P., & Chapman, S. (2005). Research methods. Psychology Press. London: Routledge.
- Meier, J. R., & Russell, J. S. (2000). Model process for implementing maintainability. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 126(6), 440–450.
- Meng, X. (2013). Involvement of facilities management specialists in building design: United Kingdom experience. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 27(5), 500–507. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0000343
- Mignone, G., Hosseini, M. R., Chileshe, N., & Arashpour, M. (2016). Enhancing collaboration in BIM-based construction networks through organisational discontinuity theory: A case study of the new Royal Adelaide Hospital. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 12(5), 333–352.
- Mohammed, M. A., & Hassanain, M. A. (2010). Towards improvement in facilities operation and maintenance through feedback to the design team. The Built & Human Environment Review, 3, 72–87.
- Mosey, D. (2009). Early contractor involvement in building procurement: Contracts, partnering and project management. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Nkala, S. M. (2016). Defining early facilities management involvement using the concepts of performance management (Doctoral dissertation). University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- Oti, A. H., Kurul, E., Cheung, F., & Tah, J. H. M. (2016). A framework for the utilization of Building Management System data in building information models for building design and operation. Automation in Construction, 72, 195–210.
- Senaratne, S., & Ruwanpura, M. (2016). Communication in construction: A management perspective through case studies in Sri Lanka. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 12(1), 3–18.
- Song, L., Mohamed, Y., & AbouRizk, S. M. (2009). Early contractor involvement in design and its impact on construction schedule performance. Journal of Management in Engineering, 25(1), 12–20.
- Succar, B. (2009). Building information modelling framework: A research and delivery foundation for industry stakeholders. Automation in Construction, 18(3), 357–375.
- Taxén, L., & Lilliesköld, J. (2008). Images as action instruments in complex projects. International Journal of Project Management, 26(5), 527–536.
- Tongco, M. D. C. (2008). Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection. Ethnobotany Research and Applications, 5(6),147–158.
- Tucker, M., & Masuri, M. R. A. (2016). The rationale to integrate facilities management into the development process. Property Management, 34(4), 332–344.
- Tzortzopoulos, P., & Sexton, M. (2007). An investigation on the implementation of product development process models in construction companies. Construction Management and Economics, 25(11), 1153–1164.
- Wang, Y., Wang, X., Wang, J., Yung, P., & Jun, G. (2013). Engagement of facilities management in the design stage through BIM: Framework and a case study. Advances in Civil Engineering, 2013, 1–8.
- Way, M., & Bordass, B. (2005). Making feedback and post-occupancy evaluation routine 2: Soft landings Involving design and building teams in improving performance. Building Resarch & Information, 33(4), 353–360.
- Winch, G. M. (2010). Managing construction projects. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Yalcinkaya, M., & Singh, V. (2014). Building information modeling (BIM) for facilities management: Literature review and future needs. In S. Fukuda, A. Bernard, B. Gurumoorthy, & A Bouras (Eds.), Proceeding of IFIP International Conference on Product Lifecycle Management (pp. 1–10). Berlin: Springer.
- Zanni, M. A., Soetanto, R., & Ruikar, K. (2017). Towards a BIM-enabled sustainable building design process: Roles, responsibilities, and requirements. Architectural Engineering and Design Management, 13(2), 101–129.
- Zimmerman, A., & Martin, M. (2001). Post-occupancy evaluation: Benefits and barriers. Building Research & Information, 29 (2), 168–174.



DESIGNING FOR OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY: HOW TO BETTER INTEGRATE FACILITY MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVES IN DESIGN

THANK YOU

Saleh Kalantari, Ph.D., EDAC Assistant Professor

Gerald D. Hines College of Architecture and Design **University of Houston**

skalant@central.uh.edu